## Universal Screen Data



Brooklyn Public Schools 2021-2022

## SBAC Data

## STATE CAUTION:

The data provided by the State of CT was done so with a caution. Achievement data for the 2020-21 school year for the state, districts, and schools provides a snapshot of student achievement in 2020-21.

Since students learned in very different ways in 2020-21, all data are disaggregated into one of three student learning models below:

1. Fully/mostly in-person: more than 75 percent of membership days were in-person;
2. Hybrid: 25 to 75 percent (inclusive) membership days were in-person; and ***BROOKLYN***
3. Fully/mostly remote: less than 25 percent of membership days were in-person.

These data also include scores of students who tested either in-person at school or remotely. These scores should not be combined.

It is common to compare assessment results across schools and districts, and to prior years. There are several reasons why those direct comparisons should not be made in 2020-21. First, how students learned (i.e., in-person, hybrid, remote) varied across districts and schools. In addition, who and how many learned fully/mostly remotely (i.e., student counts across student groups) varied across districts and schools. Who and how many tested remotely varied across districts and schools as well; who and how many participated in the in-person test also varied across districts and schools. Finally, these are unmatched data and do not account for any prior differences in achievement for the student groups.

For these reasons, the CSDE has conducted specialized analyses at the state level with the Smarter Balanced assessment results to learn about the impact of the pandemic on student achievement and growth. Any inferences about student performance in 2020-21 as well as the impact of the pandemic on learning should be based on those specialized state-level analyses and not these unmatched achievement data.

## SBAC Data

| ELA | Brooklyn 2017 | Brooklyn 2018 | Brooklyn 2019 | 2020 | Brooklyn 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 50.0\% | 46.8\% | 48.9\% | No <br> Assessment due to COVID | 48\% |
| Grade 4 | 63.2\% | 54.3\% | 50.6\% |  | 36.0\% |
| Grade 5 | 68.4\% | 61.5\% | 61.1\% |  | 42\% |
| Grade 6 | 76.9\% | 70.8\% | 67.2\% |  | 55.0\% |
| Grade 7 | 53.3\% | 75.7\% | 74.1\% |  | 54.0\% |
| Grade 8 | 67.1\% | 67.0\% | 71.3\% |  | 66.0\% |
| All Grades | 63.6\% | 63.5\% | 63.6\% |  |  |
| Math | Brooklyn 2017 | Brooklyn 2018 | Brooklyn 2019 |  | Brooklyn 2021 |
| Grade 3 | 44.8\% | 51.9\% | 42.6\% |  | 47.0\% |
| Grade 4 | 47.0\% | 46.8\% | 50.6\% |  | 18.0\% |
| Grade 5 | 34.2\% | 32.3\% | 43.2\% |  | 27.0\% |
| Grade 6 | 34.0\% | 56.2\% | 49.3\% |  | 30.0\% |
| Grade 7 | 39.2\% | 54.5\% | 56.5\% |  | 24.0\% |
| Grade 8 | 38.0\% | 34.0\% | 47.0\% | Assessment | 43\% |
| All Grades | 43.8\% | 47.1\% | 47.9\% |  |  |

## STAR Reading

|  | \% Proficiency Spring 2021 | \% Proficiency Fall 2021 | Differential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Early <br> Lit) | $55 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | $53 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | $54 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | $42 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | $57 \%$ | $59 \%$ |  |
| 6 | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |
| 7 | $72 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| 8 | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## STAR Math

|  | \% Proficiency Spring 2021 | \% Proficiency Fall 2021 | Differential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (Early <br> Lit) | $55 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | $53 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | $54 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | $42 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | $30 \%$ | $11 \%$ |  |
| 6 | $34 \%$ | $18 \%$ |  |
| 7 | $27 \%$ | $28 \%$ |  |
| 8 | $44 \%$ | $20 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Universal Screener Proficiency

|  | ELA | M Proficiency Spring 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Proficiency Spring 2021 |  |


|  |  | Winter $2019$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter } \\ 2020 \end{gathered}$ | Winter <br> 2021 | Spring <br> 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kinder | Below |  | NA | 8\% | 30\% |
|  | Approaching |  | NA | 40\% | 24\% |
|  | On/Exceeding |  | NA | 53\% | 46\% |
| Grade <br> 1 | Below | 31\% | Missing | 33\% | 28\% |
|  | Approaching | 20\% | Missing | 24\% | 13\% |
|  | On/Exceeding | 49\% | Missing | 43\% | 59\% |
| Grade 2 | Below | 15\% | 25\% | 40\% | 34\% |
|  | Approaching | 12\% | 21\% | 16\% | 16\% |
|  | On/Exceeding | 73\% | 56\% | 44\% | 49\% |
| Grade 3 | Below | 32\% | 18\% | 42\% | 42\% |
|  | Approaching | 20\% | 12\% | 25\% | 13\% |
|  | On/Exceeding | 48\% | *70\% | 33\% | 45\% |
| Grade$4$ | Below | 16\% | 35\% | 32\% | 30\% |
|  | Approaching | 11\% | 13\% | 16\% | 19\% |
|  | On/Exceeding | 73\% | 52\% | 51\% | 51\% |

## BES Intervention

## What does it look like?

| 8:55-9:20 | 9:20-9:45 | 9:50-10:15 | 10:15-10:40 | 10:40-12:00 | 12:00-12:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grade } 2 \text { (Teacher 3, 4, } \\ \& 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 1 \text { (Teacher 1, 2, } \\ \text { \& 3) } \end{gathered}$ | Grade 1 (Teacher 4 \& 5) | Team Meeting, Planning, Lunch |  <br> 2) |
| 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention <br> 1 Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | 2 Classroom Teachers 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessiona |  | Teacher 1 \& 2 <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessional |
| 12:25-12:50 | 12:55-1:20 | 1:20-1:45 | 1:50-2:15 | 2:15-2:40 | 2:40-3:!0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 4 \text { (Teacher 3, 4, } \\ \$ 5 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Kindergarten (Teacher $1,2, \& 3$ ) | Kindergarten (Teacher $4 \& 5$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } 3 \text { (Teacher 1, 2, } \\ \text { \& 3) } \end{gathered}$ | Grade 3 (Teacher 4 \& 5) | Lexia Data Review |
| 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | Teacher 1 \& 2 <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | Teacher 1 \& 2 <br> 3 Reading Intervention 1 Math Intervention 1 Lexia Paraprofessional | 2 Classroom Teachers <br> 3 Reading Intervention <br> 1 Math Intervention <br> 1 Lexia Paraprofessional |  |



## Students Receiving Intervention

|  | ELA |  | Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade K | Lexia (9) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEED TO ADD } \\ & \text { THIS INFO } \\ & 75 \text { total students } \end{aligned}$ | Number Recognition to 10 Counting Forward \& Backward Within 10 Before/After Numbers Within 10 Tracing Numbers |
| Grade 1 | Phonemic Awareness/Letter ID (8) <br> Consonant Sounds (22) <br> Lexia (8) <br> Fluency (4) <br> Comprehension (4) <br> Letter Sounds (11) | $76 \%$ of students <br> 57 students | 5 students |
| Grade 2 | Work Attack (7) Onset/Rime (8) <br> CVC (4) <br> Lexia (6) <br> Rate/Phrasing (10) <br> Decoding (3) <br> Comprehension (3) | $45 \%$ of students <br> 41 Students | 6 students |
| Grade 3 | Fluency (9) <br> Decoding (9) <br> Lexia (5) <br> Summarizing (4) <br> Interpretation (3) <br> Comprehension (3) | $35 \%$ of students <br> 33 students | 3 students |
| Grade 4 | Fluency (9) <br> Summarizing (14) <br> Lexia (9) <br> Interpretation (6) <br> Text Features (2) | 49\% of students <br> 43 Students | 5 Students |

## BES Intervention

$\rightarrow$ SRBI- in the last 3 weeks


- Classroom teachers and intervention staff are collecting data
- Adjusting groupings to meet the individual needs of students
- Grade 2- Adjusting one group to 45 minutes (OG group)
- Intervention staff are noticing areas such as pencil grips and giving advice prior to screen from OT
- Kindergarten - major adjustments based on the data- more targeted to their needs, some with letter id and rest with letter sounds
$\rightarrow$ Reflections
- Data is being discussed
- Teams have really looking at the focus for instruction on the DRA3- looking outside the box
- Children willing to make mistakes and try- this is a sense of community
- More collaboration- professional conversations
$\rightarrow$ Needs
- More targeted professional development for reading
- Timing for first group of the day

Tier 2 Intervention (2 to 3 times a week)

Tier 1
Student is not meeting expectations from Tier 1 instruction in Math, ELA and/or Social/Emotionally

Data/Social Emotional Team

## Meetings

Each week students struggling to meet expectations can be brought to the team meetings on data and social emotional concerns. Students should be assigned to intervention groups during FLEX period

## FLEX Intervention

Students identified in data/social meetings in need of academic intervention need to be part of intervention groupings during the FLEX period. Data will be tracked and reviewed quarterly to regroup students.

Quarterly Data Review Student intervention data will be

Tier 3 Intervention (4 to 5 times a week)

Tracked Intervention

## Insufficient Progress

The team determines :

- Tier 3 continues with intervention
plan adjustment
OR
- Refers child to CST

Students in Tier 3 intervention will be supported by the math and ELA interventionists and data will be tracked biweekly. If progress is made the student can return to tier 2

## CST Team Meeting

The initial referral will be brought to the CST for review. A targeted plan will be developed with specific goals and data targets amd person responsible for the plan. This team will meet again every 4 to 6 weeks to review progress
reviewed at the conclusion of each IV cycle at the end of the quarter to determine progress. If progress is being made, students return to FLEX groups or exit back to Tier 1.


## Insufficient Progress

The team determines :

- Tier 2 continues with intervention
plan adjustment
OR
- Refers child to CST


## Insufficient Progress

The team determines :

- Tier 3 continues with intervention
plan adjustment
OR
- Refers child to SPED

Initial Referral to PPT The CST team will recommend the referral to PPT. Staff will complete the referral, Student should remain in Tier 3 intervention during this process.

## Potential Outcomes

$\rightarrow \quad$ Student does not qualify for SPED and returns to Tier 3 intervention with adjustment to intervention plan through CST
$\rightarrow \quad$ Student does qualify for SPED and the IEP is implemented
$\rightarrow \quad$ Based on finding through the PPT process, a student may be given accommodations through section 504

| ELA | Fifth Grade | Sixth Grade | Seventh Grade | Eighth Grade | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in Grade | 76 | 91 | 73 | 97 | 337 |
| Tier 2 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 35 |
| Tier 3 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 22 |
| Total | 21 | 17 | 9 | 10 | 57 |
| Percentage | $28 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| Math | Fifth Grade | Sixth Grade | Seventh Grade | Eighth Grade | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in Grade | 76 | 91 | 73 | 97 | 337 |
| Tier 2 | 19 | 23 | 18 | 33 | 91 |
| Tier 3 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 20 | 45 |
| Total | 25 | 31 | 27 | 53 | 136 |
| Percentage | $33 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $40 \%$ |


| Assessment Calendar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week of | What is happening | ELA Assessment | Math Assessment | Days | Cycle | Interv. week | Grades 5-8 |
| 30-Aug | PD Days |  |  | 2 | Cycle 1 |  | Intervention Groups Created |
| 6-Sep | Labor Day |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | Math and ELA Teachers administer the STAR assessment to all students by Sept. 10th |
| 13-Sep |  | Fall Benchmark STAR | Fall Benchmark STAR | 5 |  | 2 | STAR Data entered into grade level document by Sept 17th |
| 20-Sep |  |  |  | 5 |  | 3 |  |
| 27-Sep | Progress Reports Due |  |  | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| 4-Oct | 10/8 Professional Development | Grades 5 and 6 Reading Literary Text IAB | Grade 5 and 8 Module 1 Assessment | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| 11-Oct | 10/11 Columbus Day | STAR Tier 2 and 3 Progress Monitoring | STAR Tier 2 and 3 Progress Monitoring | 4 |  | 6 | Data Entered by 15th |
| 18-Oct | DESSA-Mini Rating <br> Scales Completed |  |  | 5 |  | Data | Data Meetings During FLEX (Coverage) DESSA-Mini Scales completed by Core teachers |
| 25-Oct |  | Grades 7 and 8 Reading Literary Text IAB | Grade 6 Module 1 Assessment | 5 |  | SEL | Intervention Regrouping |
| End Quarter 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

