NORTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

VERSION 3 ENGINEERING PLAN REVIEW PERTAINING TO 5-LOT SUBDIVISION (ASSESSOR'S MAP 38, LOT 22) BEECHER ROAD BROOKLYN, CT (July 16, 2020)

The comments contained herein pertain to my review of the third version of plans, consisting of eight (8) sheets, entitled "Subdivision Application, 5 Lot Subdivision, Prepared for VBL Properties,LLC, Beecher Road, Brooklyn, Connecticut," prepared by Archer Surveying, LLC and CLA Engineers, Inc., dated June 4, 2020 with revisions as recent as July 8, 2020. Most recent Town of Brooklyn Zoning, Subdivision and Wetlands Regulations and Public Improvement Specifications were researched for this review.

Sheet 1 of 8 – Cover Sheet (Archer Sheet 1 of 8)

1. The "Index of Drawings" prepared by professionals should be revised to reflect titles on the respective plans in the plan set, as follows:

Sheet 1 of 8
Sheet 2 of 8
Sheet 3 of 8
Sheet 4 of 8
Sheet 5 of 8
Sheet 6 of 8
Sheet 7 of 8
Sheet 8 of 8

This suggestion is to avoid confusion and accurately describe what is in the plan set.

Sheet 2 of 8 – Existing Condition Plan

- 1. The plan's title block designates this plan as Sheet 2 of 9. This should be changed to Sheet 2 of 8.
- 2. Previous versions of this plan did not include contour lines, wetlands flagging, 100-year flood hazard boundaries, and expanded map references. Also, "Notes" was expanded from 3 to 12 notes, which are repetitious of those found on Sheet 3 of 8, "Subdivision Plan."
- 3. A 100-year flood hazard boundary is located at the northwest corner of the property opposite CL&P Utility Poles #300 & #301, however, the flood hazard zone is not shown on Sheet 4 of 8, "Grading & Septic Design Plan 1 of 1."

- 4. The 100-year flood hazard boundary symbol needs to be included in the plan "Legend."
- 5. The professional land surveyor's seal and signature is missing on this plan.
- 6. The soil scientists name and signature is missing on this plan.

Sheet 3 of 8 – Subdivision Plan

- 1. The scale of this plan is noted as 1'' = 70'. However, when an engineer's scale ruler is used, the scale is actually 1'' = 60', the same as that for Sheet 2 of 8, "Existing Condition Plan." The scale annotation should be changed to 1'' = 60' along with the numbers on the graphic scale bar.
- 2. The front property line of proposed Lot 38-2, from its northwest corner to approximately 40'-50' easterly along said property line, does not appear to be in conformity with Subdivision Regulation 10.6. The first paragraph of this regulation states "Existing Streets: Proposed subdivisions abutting an existing Town street shall provide for proper widening of the right-of-way of such street to the width appropriate for the classification give such street in accordance with the Town Plan of Development." To conform to this regulation, the distance from the centerline of the actual road to the property line should be no more than 25' (see Public Improvement Specifications Figure No. 7, "Improvements to Existing Town Roads," on Page 29). The property line orientation in question should be checked by the Applicant's land surveyor and, if necessary, be brought into compliance with the regulation and the lot area recalculated to ensure compliance with minimum lot size.
- 3. The 100-year flood hazard boundaries associated with Blackwells Brook and across the majority of the frontage of Lot #38-2 is noted on this plan, however, the boundaries do not appear on Sheet 4 of 8, "Grading & Septic Design Plan 1 of 2" and Sheet 5 of 8, "Grading & Septic Design Plan 2 of 2." Neither plan has the 100-year flood hazard boundary symbol in the "Legend."
- 4. The professional land surveyor's seal and signature is missing on this plan.

Sheet 4 of 8 – Grading & Septic Design Plan 1 of 2

- The 100-year flood hazard boundary is not shown along the frontage of Lot #38-2. Based upon the location of the boundary shown on Sheet 2 of 8, the depicted location of the well for this lot may be in or on the edge of the flood hazard zone. It is recommended that another location be considered for the well if the designer feels this has the potential for well contamination with an opinion stated in writing to the Commission.
- 2. The 100-year flood hazard boundary symbol is not included in the "Legend."

Sheet 5 of 8 – Grading & Septic Design Plan 2 of 2

1. Lot No. 38 on Sheet 5 of 8 is almost entirely contained within a regulated wetland upland area. No one can argue that Blackwells Brook is an important watercourse in the town of Brooklyn and as such any development or land disturbance close to it, especially within the wetland upland review area as shown, should be done with extreme care, if at all. The proposed lot, if approved as shown, is to be developed with a two-family house, paved driveway and significant clearing/regrading of the lot as close as 100' from the stream. Introducing habitation in this area provides no guarantees that the future residents will recognize the importance of protecting the water quality of this stream and not create further modification (e.g. cutting trees) of the upland area to, for example, increase more usable yard space,

provide more natural light in the yard or install a swimming pool, all of which can be detrimental to the wetland. Considering this, I believe very careful thought must be given as to whether or not this lot should be created at all—especially with a duplex dwelling—due to the potential negative impact to the Blackwells Brook wetland system.

- 2. The 100-year flood hazard boundary is not shown along Blackwells Brook on Lot #38.
- 3. The 100-year flood hazard boundary symbol is not included in the "Legend."

Sheet 7 of 8 – Construction Details

- 1. A staked hay bale sediment control detail and stone check dam detail should be included on this plan as the use of the same is noted under "Erosion & Sediment Control Narrative" on this plan.
- 2. In Note No. 9 under the "Erosion & Sediment Control Narrative," it states that slopes steeper than 3H:1V should be constructed with erosion control matting. Slopes steeper than 3H:1V should be avoided to minimize soil erosion and sediment transport due to difficulty in reestablishing and maintaining vegetation on steeper slopes, especially in shady areas. Therefore, it is recommended that no regarded slope exceeds 3H:1V.
- 3. The professional engineer's seal and signature is missing on this plan.

General Comments

- 1. Under "Notes" on a few of the plan sheets there is a statement that there are no known endangered species or species of special concern, which is fine. However, seeing that a major stream —Blackwells Brook is within the proposed subdivision, has the Applicant's consultant(s) contacted the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO), in writing, as to whether or not there is suspicion or archaeological evidence found of any prehistoric people that lived on this land and was this confirmed in writing?
- 2. Also under "Notes," electrical services are stated to be installed underground. What about telephone, cable TV, etc.?
- 3. The plans do not indicate any land in the proposed subdivision to be dedicated to "open space." In Section 8, "Open Space," of the subdivision regulations, the proposed subdivision has the vast majority of the elements described in Section 8.0 as warrants for duly requiring the dedication of open space. It is my professional opinion that the area surrounding Blackwells Brook should be preserved and is important and significant enough to be deemed "open space" and not be part of any individual private lot ownership.

4/2020 Bv: Syl Pauley, Jr., P.E., NECCOG Regional Engineer

NORTHEASTERN CONNECTICUT COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

VERSION 4 ENGINEERING PLAN REVIEW PERTAINING TO 5-LOT SUBDIVISION (ASSESSOR'S MAP 38, LOT 22) BEECHER ROAD BROOKLYN, CT (August 27, 2020)

The comments contained herein pertain to my review of the revisions made to the third version of plans, consisting of eight (8) sheets, entitled "Subdivision Application, 5 Lot Subdivision, Prepared for VBL Properties, LLC, Beecher Road, Brooklyn, Connecticut," prepared by Archer Surveying, LLC and CLA Engineers, Inc., dated June 4, 2020 with revisions as recent as August 4, 2020, with respect to my published comments of July 16, 2020. The comments in this report continue to remain outstanding from the previous version 3 plan review and have not been addressed in writing why they weren't.

Sheet 1 of 8 – Cover Sheet (Archer Sheet 1 of 8)

1. The "Index of Drawings" prepared by professionals should be revised to reflect titles on the respective plans in the plan set, as follows:

Cover Sheet	Sheet 1 of 8
Existing Condition Plan	Sheet 2 of 8
Subdivision Plan	Sheet 3 of 8
Grading & Septic Design Plan 1 of 2	Sheet 4 of 8
Grading & Septic Design Plan 2 of 2	Sheet 5 of 8
Driveway Sightline Plan & Profile	Sheet 6 of 8
Construction Details	Sheet 7 of 8
History Plan	Sheet 8 of 8

This suggestion is to avoid confusion and accurately describe what is in the plan set.

Sheet 2 of 8 – Existing Condition Plan

- 1. The professional land surveyor's seal and signature is missing on this plan.
- 2. The soil scientists name and signature is missing on this plan.

Sheet 3 of 8 – Subdivision Plan

4. The professional land surveyor's seal and signature is missing on this plan.

Sheet 5 of 8 – Grading & Septic Design Plan 2 of 2

1. Lot No. 38 on Sheet 5 of 8 is almost entirely contained within a regulated wetland upland area. No one can argue that Blackwells Brook is an important watercourse in the town of Brooklyn and as such any development or land disturbance close to it, especially within the wetland upland review area as shown, should be done with extreme care, if at all. The proposed lot, if approved as shown, is to be developed with a two-family house, paved driveway and significant clearing/regrading of the lot as close as 100' from the stream. Introducing habitation in this area provides no guarantees that the future residents will recognize the importance of protecting the water quality of this stream and not create further modification (e.g. cutting trees) of the upland area to, for example, increase more usable yard space, provide more natural light in the yard or install a swimming pool, all of which can be detrimental to the wetland. Considering this, I believe very careful thought must be given as to whether or not this lot should be created at all—especially with a duplex dwelling—due to the potential negative impact to the Blackwells Brook wetland system.

Sheet 7 of 8 – Construction Details

- 1. A staked hay bale sediment control detail and stone check dam detail should be included on this plan as the use of the same is noted under "Erosion & Sediment Control Narrative" on this plan.
- 2. In Note No. 9 under the "Erosion & Sediment Control Narrative," it states that slopes steeper than 3H:1V should be constructed with erosion control matting. Slopes steeper than 3H:1V should be avoided to minimize soil erosion and sediment transport due to difficulty in reestablishing and maintaining vegetation on steeper slopes, especially in shady areas. Therefore, it is recommended that no regraded slope exceeds 3H:1V.
- 3. The professional engineer's seal and signature is missing on this plan.

General Comments

- 1. Under "Notes" on a few of the plan sheets there is a statement that there are no known endangered species or species of special concern, which is fine. However, seeing that a major stream —Blackwells Brook is within the proposed subdivision, has the Applicant's consultant(s) contacted the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO), in writing, as to whether or not there is suspicion or archaeological evidence found of any prehistoric people that lived on this land and was this confirmed in writing?
- 2. Also under "Notes," electrical services are stated to be installed underground. What about telephone, cable TV, etc.?
- 3. The plans do not indicate any land in the proposed subdivision to be dedicated to "open space." In Section 8, "Open Space," of the subdivision regulations, the proposed subdivision has the vast majority of the elements described in Section 8.0 as warrants for duly requiring the dedication of open space. It is my professional opinion that the area surrounding Blackwells Brook should be preserved and is important and significant enough to be deemed "open space" and not be part of any individual private lot ownership.

8/27/2020 By:

Syl Pauley, Jr., P.E., NECCOG Regional Engineer

Page 2 of 2

INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES COMMISSION TOWN OF BROOKLYN, CONECTICUT

Date	Application #
APPLICATION INLAND W	VETLANDS & WATERCOURSES
APPLICANT David & Nancy Bell MAILING AC	DDRESS PO Box 358, Brooklyn, CT 06234
APPLICANT'S INTEREST IN PROPERTY OWNER PHONE	860 774 3838 EMAIL
PROPERTY OWNER IF DIFFERENT SAME MAILING ADDRESS	PHONE
Engineer/Surveyor (if any) <u>pc survey associates</u> , Ilc Attorney (if any)	
PROPERTY LOCATION/ADDRESS 131 Prince Hill Road	
MAP # A4 LOT # ZONE_RA TOTAL ACRES6	ACRES OF WETLANDS ON PROPERTY 0.3
PURPOSE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITY 3 Lot Subdivis	ion
WETLANDS EXCAVATION AND FILL: FILL PROPOSED N/a SQ FT EXCAVATION PROPOSED N/a CUBIC YDS SQ FT LOCATION WHERE MATERIAL WILL BE PLACED: ON SITE O SQ FT TOTAL REGULATED AREA ALTERED: SQ FT O ACRES EXPLAIN ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED (REQUIRED):	ОFF SITE О
MITIGATION MEASURES (IF REQUIRED): WETLANDS/WATERCOURSES	CREATED: CY SQFT ACRES
IS THE ACTIVITY LOCATED WITHIN THE WATERSHED OF A WATER COMP	ANY AS DEFINED IN CT GENERAL STATUTES 25-32A?
THE OWNER AND APPLICANT HEREBY GRANT THE BROOKLYN IWWC, THE BOA SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF TH DETERMINES THAT OUTSIDE REVIEW IS REQUIRED, APPLICANT WILL PAY CONSUL	RD OF SELECTMAN AND THEIR AUTHORIZED AGENTS PERMISSION TO ENTER THE HE IWWC REGULATIONS OF THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN. IF THE COMMISSION TING FEE.
NOTE: DETERMINATION THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED IS INACCURATE MA	Y INVALIDATE THE IWWC DECISION AND RESULT IN ENFORCEMENT ACTION.
APPLICANT: × Dund (Duel	DATE 2/6/20
OWNER: X Denit P.Bel	DATE 7/6/20 JUL 7 2020

REQUIREMENTS

¶o: oy_ ⊘" +≦;`

_____ Application Fee \$_____ State Fee (\$60.00) _____

COMPLETION OF CT DEEP REPORTING FORM

ORIGINAL PLUS COPIES OF ALL MATERIALS REQUIRED - NUMBER TO BE DETERMINED BY STAFF

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH THE WETLANDS AGENT IS RECOMMENDED TO EXAMINE THE SCOPE OF THE ACTIVITY

SITE PLAN SHOWING LOCATION OF THE WETLANDS WITH EXISTING AND PROPOSED CONDITIONS. APPLICANT MAY BE REQUIRED TO HAVE A CERTIFIED SOIL SCIENTIST IDENTIFY THE WETLANDS.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE CONNECTICUT EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MANUAL

_____ IF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS DEEMED TO BE A "SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ACTIVITY" A PUBLIC HEARING IS REQUIRED ALONG WITH THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

- O NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS
- ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN IWWC REGULATIONS ARTICLE 7.6

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/ACTION NEEDED:

THER APPLICATIONS MAY BE REQUIRED. CONTACT THESE AGENCIES FOR FURTHER IS	NFORMATION:
APPLICATION TO STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEEP	
INLAND WATER RESOURCES DIVISION	
79 Elm St.	
HARTFORD, CT. 06106	
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
CONCORD MA 01742	
1-860-343-4789	
FAFF USE ONLY:	
DECLARATORY KULING: AS OF RIGHT & NON-REG	ULATED USES ISEE IW WU REGULATIONS SECTION 41
	······································
Permit Required:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Permit Required: Authorized by Staff/Chair (no activit	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT)
PERMIT REQUIRED:	
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT)
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT)
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT 	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT 	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC NO PERMIT REQUIRED OUTSIDE OF UPLAND REVIEW AREA	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC NO PERMIT REQUIRED OUTSIDE OF UPLAND REVIEW AREA NO IMPACT	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC NO PERMIT REQUIRED OUTSIDE OF UPLAND REVIEW AREA NO IMPACT	TY IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC AUTHORIZED BY IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC NO PERMIT REQUIRED OUTSIDE OF UPLAND REVIEW AREA NO IMPACT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING WETLANDS OFFICER
PERMIT REQUIRED: AUTHORIZED BY STAFF/CHAIR (NO ACTIVIT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITY/PUBLIC NO PERMIT REQUIRED OUTSIDE OF UPLAND REVIEW AREA NO IMPACT CHAIR, BROOKLYN IWWC	Y IN WETLANDS/WATERCOURSE AND MINIMAL IMPACT) WETLANDS OFFICER HEARING WETLANDS OFFICER



Joseph R. Theroux

~ Certified Forester/ Soil Scientist ~ Phone 860-428-7992~ Fax 860-376-6842 P.O. Box 32, Voluntown, CT. 06384 Forestry Services ~ Environmental Impact Assessments Wetland Delineations and Permitting ~ E&S/Site Inspections Wetland function and value Assessments

11/14/19

P.C. Survey Assoc. LLC.63 Snake Meadow Hill Rd.Killingly, CT. 06239

Attn: Mr. Paul Terwilliger

Re: Wetland delineation, 131 Prince Hill Rd. Brooklyn, CT.

Dear Mr. Terwilliger,

At your request I have delineated the inland wetlands and watercourses on the above referenced property.

These wetlands have been delineated in accordance with the standards of the National Cooperative Soil Survey and the definitions of wetlands as found in the Connecticut Statutes, Chapter 440, Sections 22A-38.

Flag numbers WF-1-1 thru WF-12 delineate the boundary of a palustrine forested wetland located along the eastern boundary of the property.

These wetland soils are characterized by thick organic "A" horizons, shallow redoximorphic features and low chroma colors within 20 inches of the soil surface.

I have examined the remainder of the property and found no other evidence of inland wetlands or watercourses.

In conclusion, if you have any questions concerning the delineation or this report, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Joseph R. Theroux Certified Soil Scientist Member SSSSNE, NSCSS, SSSA.

ABUTTERS WITHIN 200' OF SUBDIVISION - BELL , PRINCE HILL ROAD

MAP 34, LOT 2 RZEZNIKIEWICZ RUDO 12 GORMAN RD	OLPH		MAP 34, LOT 2A PERRY BRITTANY L 158 MAIN ST - PO BOX	682		MAP 34, LOT 3A SIMONZI MARK 25 TOWER HILL RD		
BROOKLYN	СТ	06234-1804	PUTNAM	СT	06260-0682	BRIMFIELD	MA	01010-9756
MAP 34, LOT 3B 148 PRINCE HILL LLC 59 SOUTH ST BROOKLYN	ст	6234	MAP 34, LOT 4 ENNIS JOHN F & EGG 289 PROVIDENCE RD BROOKLYN	ERS F CT	06234-1818	SUBJECT PROPERTY BELL DAVID P & NANC P.O. BOX 358 BROOKLYN	MAP : Y M CT	34, LOT 52 06234-1521
MAP 34, LOT 51 RZEZNIKIEWICZ RUDO 12 GORMAN RD)LPH &	& RITA N (TIC)	MAP 34, LOT 53 ENNIS JOHN F & EGGI 289 PROVIDENCE RD	ERS F	REDERICK S	MAP 34, LOT 3 CIL REALTY INCORPO 157 CHARTER OAK AV)RATE /E 3R[D D FLOOR
BROOKLYN	СТ	06234-1804	BROOKLYN	СТ	06234-1818	HARTFORD	СТ	6106

•

.

ĸ

PER SECTION 8-26 OF THE CONNECTICUT GENERAL STATUTES, AMENDED, APPROVAL AUTOMATICALLY EXPIRES IF ALL PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENTS REQUIRED BY THIS PLAN ARE NOT COMPLETED BY THAT DATE

APPROVED BY THE BROOKLYN INLAND WETLANDS AND WATERCOURSES COMMISSION.

THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS OF THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN ARE A PART

OF THIS PLAN. APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN IS CONTIGENT ON COMPLETION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF SAID REGULATIONS, EXCEPTING ANY VARIANCE OR

MODIFICATIONS MADE BY THE COMMISSION. ANY SUCH VARIANCE OR MODIFICATIONS ARE ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION.

APPROVED BY THE BROOKLYN PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN

CHAIRMAN

DATE

DATE



GRANTOR	GRANTEE	VOL.	PG.	DATE	
WILLIAM COOKE, TRUSTEE	DAVID P. & NANCY M. BELL	165	217	6/9/1988	8 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
ROBERT A. DUMAINE	WILLIAM COOKE, TRUSTEE	91	559	6/8/1988	8 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
GEORGE I. & SARA H. JOHNSON	ROBERT A. DUMAINE	91	1	4/29/1988	8 ACRES, MORE OR LESS
PAUL B. & RUTH Y. KERR	GEORGE I. & SARA H. JOHNSON	29	425	7/22/1948	8 ACRES, MORE OR LESS

SHEET INDEX

SHEET 1 SUBDIVISION COVER SHEET

SHEET 2 SUBDIVISION BOUNDARY MAP

SHEET 3 LOT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

SHEET 4 EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN & DETAILS



TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THIS MAP IS SUBSTANTIALLY CORRECT AS NOTED HEREON

1.S. NO.

NO CERTIFICATION IS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED UNLESS THIS MAP BEARS THE EMBOSSED SEAL OF THE LAND SURVEYOR WHOSE SIGNATURE APPEARS HEREON.



JOB NO: 18015 F.B. NO: N/A DRAWN BY: P.A.T. MAP NO:

300'

200'

50' 100'



JOB NO: 18015 F.B. NO: N/A DRAWN BY: P.A.T. MAP NO:



TEST HOLE 1 0-8" TOPSOIL

8"-27" FINE SANDY LOAM 27"-80" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND, SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES 💉 WATER AT 54" MOTTLING AT 48"

NO LEDGE TEST HOLE 2 0-8" TOPSOIL

8"-25" FINE SANDY LOAM 25"-84" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND & SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES, SOME STONES WATER AT 54" MOTTLING AT 50" NO LEDGE

PERCOLATION RATE: 11.4 MIN./INCH

TEST HOLE 5 0-9" TOPSOIL

9"-24" SANDY LOAM 24"-30" GRAY LOAMY FINE SAND 30"-37" BROWN LOAMY FINE/MED. SAND 37"-96" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY FINE SAND & FINE SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES WATER AT 79" MOTTLING AT 64"

NO LEDGE TEST HOLE 6 0-9" TOPSOIL 9"-18" FINE SANDY LOAM 18"-36" FINE SANDY LOAM & SANDY LOAM 36"-89" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND & SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES, SOME FLAT ROCK WATER AT 65" MOTTLING AT 60" NO LEDGE

PERCOLATION RATE: 8.9 MIN./INCH

TEST HOLE 9 0-8" TOPSOIL 8"-24" FINE SANDY LOAM 24"-38" FINE SANDY LOAM & LOAMY FINE SAND 38"-84" COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY FINE SAND & SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES WATER AT 68" MOTTLING AT 31" NO LEDGE TEST HOLE 10 0-21" TOPSOIL 21"-31" SANDY LOAM 31"-42" SANDY LOAM & FINE SANDY LOAM 42"-84" MOD. COMPACT FINE SANDY LOAM, SOME LOAMY SAND WATER AT 58" MOTTLING AT 50"

PERCOLATION RATE: 16 MIN./INCH

NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 13 0-11" TOPSOIL 11"-24" FINE SANDY LOAM 24"-69" MOTTLED/WET GRAVELLY SANDY LOAM 69"-73" GROUNDWATER WATER AT 69" MOTTLING AT 24" NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 14 0-10" TOPSOIL 10"-26" FINE SANDY LOAM 26"-59" MOTTLED SANDY LOAM w/ GRAVEL 59"-70" GROUNDWATER WATER AT 59" MOTTLING AT 26" NO LEDGE

PERCOLATION RATE: 10 MIN./INCH

TEST HOLE 3 0-9" TOPSOIL 9"-23" SANDY LOAM 23"-42" FINE SANDY LOAM & SANDY LOAM, SOME FINE/MED. SAND WATER AT 67" MOTTLING AT 62" NO LEDGE TEST HOLE 4 0-9" TOPSOIL 9"-21" SANDY LOAM 21"-36" LOAMY SAND 36"-100" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND & SANDY LOAM w/ COBBLES, POCKET LOAMY VERY FINE SAND WATER AT 60" MOTTLING AT 40" NO LEDGE

PERCOLATION RATE: 11.4 MIN./INCH

TEST HOLE 7 0-8" TOPSOIL 8"-22" FINE SANDY LOAM 22"-66" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY FINE SANDY LOAM, SOME LOAMY FINE SAND w/ COBBLES WATER AT 24" MOTTLING AT 23" NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 8 0-8" TOPSOIL 8"-24" SANDY LOAM & FINE SANDY LOAM 24"-96" MOD. COMPACT GRAVELLY LOAMY FINE SAND, SOME FINE SANDY LOAM, SOME FINE/MED. SAND w/ COBBLES NO WATER NO MOTTLING

PERCOLATION RATE: 16-20 MIN./INCH

NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 11 0-12" TOPSOIL 12"-32" FINE SANDY LOAM 32"-80" MOTTLED GRAVELLY SANDY LOAM 80-84" GROUNDWATER WATER AT 80" MOTTLING AT 32" NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 12 0-12" TOPSOIL 12"-31" FINE SANDY LOAM 31"-76" MOTTLED GRAVELLY SANDY LOAM 76-80" GROUNDWATER WATER AT 76" MOTTLING AT 31" NO LEDGE

<u>TEST HOLE 15</u> 0-9" TOPSOIL 9"-23" FINE SANDY LOAM 23"-81" MOTTLED GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND NO WATER MOTTLING AT 23" NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 16 0-12" TOPSOIL 12"-28" FINE SANDY LOAM 28"-70" MOTTLED GRAVELLY LOAMY SAND NO WATER MOTTLING AT 28" NO LEDGE

TEST HOLE 17 0-9" TOPSOIL 9"-28" FINE SANDY LOAM 28"-70" MOTTLED FINE SANDY LOAM w/ GRAVEL 70"-78" GROUNDWATER WATER AT 70" MOTTLING AT 28" NO LEDGE

DRAWN BY: P.A.T. MAP NO:

PERCOLATION RATE: 10 MIN./INCH

NOTE: ADDITIONAL PERCOLATION TESTS AT DEPTHS ABOVE RESTRICTIVE LAYER TO BE PERFORMED ON LOTS 1 AND 3 AT THE TIME OF LOT DEVELOPMENT.

NOTES:

1. THIS MAP AND SURVEY HAVE BEEN PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS 20-300b-1 THRU 20-300b-20 OF THE REGULATIONS OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES - "STANDARDS FOR SURVEYS AND MAPS IN THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT", AS ADOPTED BY THE CONNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF LAND SURVEYORS, INC. IT IS A GENERAL LOCATION SURVEY BASED ON A DEPENDENT RESURVEY AND ORIGINAL SURVEY CONFORMING TO HORIZONTAL ACCURACY CLASS B. SEE SHEET 2 FOR PROPERTY LINE INFORMATION. TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES DEPICTED HEREON WERE TAKEN FROM NOAA LIDAR DATA WITH FIELD VERIFICATION AND CONFORM TO TOPOGRAPHIC ACCURACY CLASS T-3. VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD88.

2. ZONING DISTRICT: RA

3. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS ARE CONCEPTUAL LOCATIONS TO SHOW LOT SUITABILITY ONLY.

- 4. SOLAR ACCESS WAS CONSIDERED IN THE DESIGN OF THIS SUBDIVISION.
- 5. THE INLAND WETLANDS AND WATERCOURSES WERE FIELD DELINEATED IN NOVEMBER 2019 BY JOSEPH R. THEROUX, CPSS.
- 6. MAXIMUM DRIVEWAY GRADE PERMITTED IS 12%. GRADES OF 10% OR GREATER ARE TO BE PAVED.
- 7. SEE SHEET 4 FOR EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN.

8. THERE ARE NO APPARENT EXISTING OR PROPOSED WELLS WITHIN 75 FEET OF THE PROPOSED SEPTIC AREAS DEPICTED. THERE ARE NO APPARENT SEPTIC AREAS WITHIN 75 FEET OF THE PROPOSED WELL LOCATIONS DEPICTED.

9. IF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE INSTALLED, NO TRENCH SHALL BE LOCATED WITHIN 25' OF A SEPTIC SYSTEM OR WELL.

SURVEYING • MAPPING • PLOT PLAN\$ **GENERAL LOCATION SURVEY** LOT DEVELOPMENT PLAN SUBDIVISION OF LAND PREPARED FOR DAVID P. BELL AND NANCY M. BELL PRINCE HILL ROAD 63 SNAKE MEADOW RD KILLINGLY, CT 06239 **BROOKLYN**, CONNECTICUT 860 774 6230 6/16/2020 DATE: JUNE 2020 SHEET NO: 3 OF 4 DATE SCALE: 1" = 40' **REVISED**: 7/6/2020 - OPEN SPACE /29/2020 - LOT LINES/COMMENTS 20'

JOB NO: 18015 F.B. NO: N/A

REFERENCE IS MADE TO:

- 1. CONNECTICUT GUIDELINES FOR SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL, MAY 2002.
- 2. SOIL SURVEY OF WINDHAM COUNTY CONNECTICUT, U.S.D.A. SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE 1983.

DEVELOPMENT

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL CREATE THREE 2 ACRE BUILDING LOTS.

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE:

- 1. INSTALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL STRUCTURES ALONG THE PROPOSED LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE.
- 2. PROVIDE ANTI TRACKING PAD AND TEMPORARY POWER TO THE SITE.
- 3. REMOVE AND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL AND INSTALL SEDIMENT BARRIER.
- 4. EXCAVATE FOUNDATION AND BEGIN HOUSE CONSTRUCTION.
- 5. INSTALL SEPTIC SYSTEM AND WELL.
- 6. INSTALL DRIVEWAY AND UTILITIES TO THE RESIDENCE.
- 7. LOAM, SEED & MULCH DISTURBED AREAS.
- 8. REMOVE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANY PERMIT ISSUED BY THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A SITE INSPECTION FROM THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OR WETLANDS AGENT TO ENSURE THAT ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NARRATIVE. UPON APPROVAL WITH RESPECT TO THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES, THE CONTRACTOR MAY COMMENCE OPERATIONS PURSUANT TO THE PERMIT. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "SILT FENCE INSTALLATION & MAINTENANCE" AND "HAY BALE INSTALLATION & MAINTENANCE" SECTIONS OF THIS NARRATIVE.

ALL STRIPPING IS TO BE CONFINED TO THE IMMEDIATE CONSTRUCTION AREA. TOPSOIL SHALL BE STOCKPILED SO THAT SLOPES DO NOT EXCEED 2 TO 1. THERE SHALL BE NO BURIAL OF STUMPS. A HAY BALE SEDIMENT BARRIER IS TO SURROUND EACH STOCKPILE AND A TEMPORARY VEGETATIVE COVER PROVIDED IF NECESSARY.

DUST CONTROL WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED BY SPRAYING WITH WATER.

FINAL STABILIZATION OF THE SITE IS TO FOLLOW THE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN PERMANENT VEGETATIVE COVER. IF NECESSARY A TEMPORARY VEGETATIVE COVER IS TO BE PROVIDED UNTIL A PERMANENT COVER CAN BE APPLIED.

DURING THE STABILIZATION PERIOD, ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN PROPER WORKING ORDER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL ON A TWICE-WEEKLY BASIS DURING THE STABILIZATION PERIOD AND AFTER EACH STORM EVENT. DURING THE STABILIZATION PERIOD WITH RESPECT TO THE SITE, ANY EROSION WHICH OCCURS WITHIN DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE IMMEDIATELY REPAIRED. RESEEDED AND RE-ESTABLISHED.

ALL DISTURBED SLOPES SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN ONE SEASON (SPRING OR FALL) OF THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT BEFORE A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WILL BE ISSUED

ONCE STABILIZATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF BROOKLYN ZONING ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

SILT FENCE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE:

1. DIG A 6" DEEP TRENCH ON THE UPHILL SIDE OF THE BARRIER LOCATION.

2. POSITION THE POSTS ON THE DOWNHILL SIDE OF THE BARRIER AND DRIVE THE POSTS 1.5 FEET INTO THE GROUND.

3. LAY THE BOTTOM 6" OF THE FABRIC IN THE TRENCH TO PREVENT UNDERMINING AND BACKFILL.

4. INSPECT AND REPAIR BARRIER AFTER HEAVY RAINFALL.

5. INSPECTIONS WILL BE MADE AT LEAST ONCE PER WEEK AND WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF A STORM WITH A RAINFALL AMOUNT OF 0.5 INCH OR GREATER TO DETERMINE MAINTENANCE NEEDS.

6. SEDIMENT DEPOSITS ARE TO BE REMOVED WHEN THEY REACH A HEIGHT OF 1 FOOT BEHIND THE BARRIER OR HALF THE HEIGHT OF THE BARRIER AND ARE TO BE DEPOSITED IN AN AREA WHICH IS NOT REGULATED BY THE INLAND WETLANDS COMMISSION.

7. REPLACE OR REPAIR THE FENCE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF OBSERVED FAILURE. FAILURE OF THE FENCE HAS OCCURRED WHEN SEDIMENT FAILS TO BE RETAINED BY THE FENCE BECAUSE:

- THE FENCE HAS BEEN OVERTOPPED, UNDERCUT OR BYPASSED BY RUNOFF WATER, - THE FENCE HAS BEEN MOVED OUT OF POSITION, OR

- THE GEOTEXTILE HAS DECOMPOSED OR BEEN DAMAGED.

HAY BALE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE:

1. BALES SHALL BE PLACED AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS WITH THE ENDS OF THE BALES TIGHTLY ABUTTING EACH OTHER.

2. EACH BALE SHALL BE SECURELY ANCHORED WITH AT LEAST 2 STAKES AND GAPS BETWEEN BALES SHALL BE WEDGED WITH STRAW TO PREVENT WATER FROM PASSING BETWEEN THE BALES.

3. INSPECT BALES AT LEAST ONCE PER WEEK AND WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE END OF A STORM WITH A RAINFALL AMOUNT OF 0.5 INCHES OR GREATER TO DETERMINE MAINTENANCE NEEDS.

4. REMOVE SEDIMENT BEHIND THE BALES WHEN IT REACHES HALF THE HEIGHT OF THE BALE AND DEPOSIT IN AN AREA WHICH IS NOT REGULATED BY THE INLAND WETLANDS COMMISSION.

5. REPLACE OR REPAIR THE BARRIER WITHIN 24 HOURS OF OBSERVED FAILURE. FAILURE OF THE BARRIER HAS OCCURRED WHEN SEDIMENT FAILS TO BE RETAINED BY THE BARRIER BECAUSE:

- THE BARRIER HAS BEEN OVERTOPPED. UNDERCUT OR BYPASSED BY RUNOFF WATER. - THE BARRIER HAS BEEN MOVED OUT OF POSITION, OR

- THE HAY BALES HAVE DETERIORATED OR BEEN DAMAGED.

TEMPORARY VEGETATIVE COVER

OF STOCKPILES. IF THE SOIL HAS BEEN COMPACTED BY CONSTRUCTION

PERMANENT VEGETATIVE COVER

FOLLOWING GRASS SEED MIX:

SEED MIXTURE KENTUCKY BLUEGRASS CREEPING RED FESCUE PERENNIAL RYEGRASS

OF 3:1 OR GREATER SLOPE

BACKFILL & COMPACT EXCAVATED FILL ALONG HAY BALE FLOW

WEDGE LOOSE HAY BETWEEN BALES
(2)-2"x2"x3' STAKES OR REBAR PER BALE

















Northeast District Department of Health

69 South Main Street, Unit 4, Brooklyn, CT 06234 860-774-7350/Fax 860-774-1308 www.nddh.org

July 20, 2020

David & Nancy Bell PO Box 358 Brooklyn, CT 06234

SUBJECT: FILE #90001344 -- PRINCE HILL ROAD #131, MAP #34, LOT #52, BROOKLYN, CT

Dear David & Nancy Bell:

Upon review of the subdivision plan PC SURVEY ASSOCIATES, LLC., JOB# 18015, DRAWN JUNE 2020 submitted to this office on 06/22/2020 for the above referenced subdivision, The Northeast District Department of Health concurs with the feasibility of this parcel of land for future development. Additionally, approval to construct individual subsurface sewage disposal systems may be granted based on compliance with appropriate regulations and the Technical Standards as they apply to individual building lots with the following notations:

- 1. Lots # 1, 2, 3 require that a Professional Engineer design and submit individual plot plan(s) for review and approval prior to construction.
- 2. Proposed lots are based on 4 bedroom homes at the locations tested. If the number of bedrooms are increased, septic system sizes will require an increase per the Technical Standards.
- 3. If the proposed septic area is moved, additional testing may be required

Be advised you must receive approval from the appropriate commissions in the Town of Brooklyn prior to construction of these lots.

This letter is NOT to be construed as an APPROVAL TO CONSTRUCT the septic system and DOES NOT indicate that the Northeast District Department of Health endorses approval for issuance of any building permit.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact the sanitarian that reviewed your plan.

Sincerely,

theng mison

Sherry McGann, RS Registered Sanitarian-NDDH

cc: Town of Brooklyn; PC Survey Associates, LLC.

Hi Margaret,

I have reviewed the revised plans for the Bell's 3-lot subdivision on Prince Hill Road, which were prepared by PC Survey Associates. Here is what I found:

Sheet 2 of 4

Comment 1 was not addressed with respect to marking the conservation easement on the plans with post-mounted signs. I believe it is important to identify the easement with signs in the field, especially where the easement crosses property lines, to let future homeowners know that this is a "hands off" area as far as land disturbance goes. The detail sheet 3 of 4 did not contain any sign detail either.

Sheet 3 of 4

Even though a letter from NDDH to the Bells was submitted to you, PC Survey did not provide their explanation as requested. I believe it is important to know why this note was placed on the plan.

Sheet 4 of 4

Comments 1 thru 4 have been addressed with the construction details updated on the plan.

General Comment

The seal and signature of the professional engineer has not been affixed to the revised plans. And, the soil scientist's signature block has not been included either.

Considering the above, it is my professional opinion that the plans remain incomplete.

Syl

(email dated 8-20-2020)

Hi Margaret,

I have reviewed the revised plans for the Bell's 3-lot subdivision on Prince Hill Road, which were prepared by PC Survey Associates. Here is what I found:

Sheet 2 of 4

Comment 1 was not addressed with respect to marking the conservation easement on the plans with post-mounted signs. I believe it is important to identify the easement with signs in the field, especially where the easement crosses property lines, to let future homeowners know that this is a "hands off" area as far as land disturbance goes. The detail sheet 3 of 4 did not contain any sign detail either.

Sheet 3 of 4

Even though a letter from NDDH to the Bells was submitted to you, PC Survey did not provide their explanation as requested. I believe it is important to know why this note was placed on the plan.

Sheet 4 of 4

Comments 1 thru 4 have been addressed with the construction details updated on the plan.

General Comment

The seal and signature of the professional engineer has not been affixed to the revised plans. And, the soil scientist's signature block has not been included either.

Considering the above, it is my professional opinion that the plans remain incomplete.

Syl

(email dated 8-20-2020)